PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

			and the second s		PCT
see form PCT/ISA/220			a a file of the property of th	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
	mational application T/GB2005/00070		international filing date (c 25.02.2005	iaymoninyear)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.02.2004
	mational Patent Clas 0K17/346, B60K2		both national classification	and IPC	
App	ilcant M DIFFEHENTIA	Community Springer	is a communication of a complete framework (ASA) of a constant	TO A THE SECOND CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND CONTR	THE STATE OF THE S
	Arth Mahara (a cara a c	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	ng grants (n. 1900). The state of the state		
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicati	ions relating to the foli	owing items:	
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicati Rasis of the o	•	owing items:	
1.			•	owing items:	
1.	Box No. 1	Rasis of the op	pinion	-	ntive step and industrial applicability
1.	Box No. I	Rasis of the op	pinion ment of opinion with reg	-	ntive step and industrial applicability
1.	Box No. II Box No. III	Rasis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned sta	pinion ment of opinion with reg of invention	ard to novelty, inve	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
1.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV	Rasis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned sta	ment of opinion with regi of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> s itations and explanations	ard to novelty, inve	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
1.	Box No. II Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V	Rasis of the operation	ment of opinion with regi of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> s itations and explanations	ard to novelty, inve s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such s	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI	Rasis of the operation	ment of opinion with reginal of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations tents cited	ard to novelty, inve 1.1(a)(i) with regard 5 supporting such solication	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI	Rasis of the operation	ment of opinion with regi of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> s itations and explanations nents cited is in the international app	ard to novelty, inve 1.1(a)(i) with regard 5 supporting such solication	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. II Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI Written opinion o the applicant chic	Rasis of the operation of the International pressure of the International pressure of the Internation of the	ment of opinion with regard invention tement under Rule 43bit itations and explanations hents cited is in the international appropriate on the internation liminary examination is a self-preliminary Examination into other than this one to	ard to novelty, inve i.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such a dication hal application made, this opinion is g Authority ("IPEA" be the IPEA and t	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for I written opinional Bur will not be so could If this opinion is, submit to the IPE	Rasis of the operation of the operation of the control of the control of the international presidence. As provided about the control of the international presidence. As provided about the control of the international presidence.	ment of opinion with regi- of invention tement under Rule 43 bis itations and explanations nents cited in the international appropriate on the internation liminary examination is re- itations on the internation ity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written cove, considered to be a selection of the cover of the	ard to novelty, inve i.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such a dication hat application made, this opinion is good opinion of the printer opinion of the printer opinion of the	to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement will usually be considered to be a however, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notified the
2.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for I written opinion o the applicant cha international Bur will not be so con If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the	Rasis of the operation of the international pressidered. Rasis of the operation of the international pressidered. Rasis of the operation of the international pressidered.	ment of opinion with regi- of invention tement under Rule 43bis itations and explanations nents cited in the international appropriate on the internation ital Preliminary Examinar ity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written of ove, considered to be a selection of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	ard to novelty, inve i.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such a dication hat application made, this opinion is good opinion of the printer opinion of the printer opinion of the	to novelty, inventive step or industrial latement will usually be considered to be a
2.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for I written opinion of the applicant chain international Bur will not be so could this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expired.	Rasis of the operation of the international presumbles an Authoreau under Rule as provided ab EA a written replace of mailing as later.	ment of opinion with regi- of invention tement under Rule 43bis itations and explanations nents cited in the international appropriate on the internation ital Preliminary Examinar ity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written of ove, considered to be a selection of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	ard to novelty, inve i.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such a dication hat application made, this opinion is good opinion of the printer opinion of the printer opinion of the	to novelty, inventive step or industrial latement will usually be considered to be a
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for I written opinion of the applicant chain international Bur will not be so could this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expired.	Rasis of the operation of the international presumbles an Authoreau under Rule as provided ab EA a written replace of mailing as later.	ment of opinion with region invention tement under Rule 43bis itations and explanations nents cited in the international appropriate Preliminary examination is a Preliminary Examinarity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written cover considered to be a styrogether, where appropriate Form PCTASA/220 or CTASA/220.	ard to novelty, inve i.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such a dication hat application made, this opinion is good opinion of the printer opinion of the printer opinion of the	to novelty, inventive step or industrial latement will usually be considered to be a



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Heneghan, M



Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Independent claim 1

- 1. The subject matter of independent claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as novel (Article 33(2) PCT) for the following reasons:
- 1.1. The prior art document D1: US 5924952 contains all of the features of current claim 1:

A differential pan removably attachable to a vehicle to cover the differential unit 22 of the vehicle and a locking device 50 able to be fitted to the differential unit 22 of the vehicle in which, when the differential pan is attached to the vehicle, the locking device 50 is able to be attached to the vehicle differential unit 22 inside the differential pan. (D1, Col.4 I13 - Col.17 I16 & Fig.1-9).

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

1.2. Furthermore, all of the features of current claim 1 are also known from the document D2 : JP 60131324 (Abstract & Fig.1 & 2).

Dependent claims 2 to 31

2. Dependent claims 2 to 31 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step because these claims relate merely to well-known options and do not appear to contain matter, which goes beyond the technical knowledge of the person skilled in the art or which would indicate the use of inventive ability. Furthermore, the additional features of some of these claims are already known from some of the cited documents, as outlined below:

- 2.1. The additional features of claims 2, 7, 8, 10 to 14 and 19 are already known from the documents D1 (Fig.1-9) and D2 (Fig.1 & 2).
- 2.2. The additional features of claims 3 and 22 are already known from the document D1 (Fig.1-9).
- 2.3. The additional features of claim 27 are already known from the document D2 (Fig.1 & 2).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novetty (N)

Yes: Claims

4-6,9,15-18,20,21,23-26,28-31

No: Claims

1-3,7,8,10-14,19,22,27

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-27

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-27

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

4. Addinonal comments:

	Box I	No. Basis of the opinion				
Ť .	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in anguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	Ŀ	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language —, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2	With nece	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a tyr	on of material.				
		3 a sequence listing				
	ï	i table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. for	rmat of material:				
	i.d	i written torma:				
	F.**	in computer readable form				
	c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing:				
	54	Contained in the international explication as free				
	Ĩ.	I filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		i turnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
	į	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating therethas been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in perticular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged:
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples Hustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51):
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- (Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims;
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claims 14; claims 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the lenguage in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confissed with and does not replace the latter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Pleference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence it a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the international Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the international Preliminary Examining Authority (see Fluie 62.2(s), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

in these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the falter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international phulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further emended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the case of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its field, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by emending the text of one or more of the claims as fied.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an emendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.